

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,143	12/30/2005	Bjorn Refsum	OPA 324	4564
Anton E. Skaugset Kolisch Hartwell, P.C. 200 Pacific Building 520 S.W. Yamhill Street Portland, OR 97204			EXAMINER	
			NELSON JR, MILTON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2000	DADED

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/563 143 REFSUM, BJORN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Milton Nelson, Jr. 3636 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 8-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2005 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/08

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/563,143

Art Unit: 3636

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 9, 2008 has been entered.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information referred to in Applicant's information disclosure statement filed July 22, 2008 has been considered.

# Drawings

Applicant's new drawing sheets filed August 6, 2008 have not been entered, as each includes new matter. The drawing sheet which includes Fig. 6 shows at least an assumed module portion that is tilted rearwardly. This orientation is not supported by the originally filed disclosure. Fig. 6 also shows at least an object at the bottom, front of the device which appears to include drape lines. This is also not supported by the

originally filed disclosure. Regarding Fig. 7, at least the rod with a pair of members 9 is not supported by the originally filed disclosure.

The originally filed drawings remain objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a), as the new drawing sheets have not been entered. The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The movable casing "completely surrounds" the stem, and the locking device "is a bracket to the module" have not been shown.

## Specification

The specification is objected to as being inconsistent with the entered drawing sheets (i.e. the originally filed drawings). This objection is in view of Applicant's amendment to the specification and the non-entry of the new drawing sheets.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's remarks.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,143

Art Unit: 3636

The rejection of claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement has been overcome in view of Applicant's cancellation of the claim.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of claims 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, has been overcome by Applicants cancellation of these claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDaniel (872739) in view of Stoll (1726372).

The primary reference shows all claimed features of the instant invention with the exception of a spring-arranged between the handle and the friction element (claim 1); and the movable casing partly surrounding the stem (claim 9). In the primary reference, note the module (12), which is capable of use by a child and is therefore a children's

Application/Control Number: 10/563,143
Art Unit: 3636

seat, stem (1), locking device (8, 8', 9, 9', 9"), movable casing (2) completely surrounding the stem, handle (9') which is rotatable eccentrically, friction element (9), spring (9'), friction pattern (2) including grooves or indents, wherein the locking device is integrated as part of the module (by way of 10, 11), and bracket (10, 11).

The secondary reference conventionally teaches configuring a handle (portion 8 behind the pivot hole, as shown in Figure 4), and friction element (portion in front of the pivot hole, including portion 9) assembly with a spring (13, 14, 14) arranged between the handle and the friction element, as shown in Figures 1 and 2. Note that the spring is mounted around the pivot axis.

Regarding claim 1, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time of the instant invention to modify the primary reference in view of the teachings of the secondary reference by substituting a spring around the pivot in place of the rearwardly mounted spring. This modification provides an alternate, equivalent mounting configuration for the spring. The locking mechanism performs equally as well with either type of mounting, and no problem is solved and no advantage is rendered by using one mounting over the other. Such necessarily places the spring between the handle and the friction element.

Regarding claim 2, it would have further been an obvious matter of choice in design to configure the movable casing as partly surrounding the stem in place of completely surrounding the stem. The device performs equally as well with either configuration, and no problem is solved and no advantage is rendered by using one configuration in place of the other.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,143 Page 6

Art Unit: 3636

### Response to Amendment/Arguments

Applicant's response has been fully considered. Remaining issues are described in the above sections. The previous indication of allowable subject matter in claims 1 and 8-14 has been withdrawn in view of the newly cited prior art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6861. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternate Fridays, 5:30-3:00 EST.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3636

/Milton Nelson, Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

mn

December 20, 2008